

CRAIG H. MISSAKIAN (CABN 125202)  
United States Attorney

MARTHA BOERSCH (CABN 126569)  
Chief, Criminal Division

ERIC CHENG (CABN 274118)  
ALETHEA M. SARGENT (CABN 288222)  
ALEXANDRA SHEPARD (CABN 205143)  
Assistant United States Attorneys

1301 Clay Street, Suite 340  
Oakland, California 94612  
Telephone: (510) 637-3680  
FAX: (510) 637-3724  
[eric.cheng@usdoj.gov](mailto:eric.cheng@usdoj.gov)  
[alethea.sargent@usdoj.gov](mailto:alethea.sargent@usdoj.gov)  
[alexandra.shepard@usdoj.gov](mailto:alexandra.shepard@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CASE NOS. 4:23-CR-00268 JSW-2  
Plaintiff, ) 4:23-CR-00269 JSW-3  
v. )  
DEVON CHRISTOPHER WENGER, ) **UNITED STATES' SENTENCING**  
Defendant. ) **MEMORANDUM**

## I. INTRODUCTION

Antioch Police Officer Devon Wenger betrayed the oath he swore to uphold the law by conspiring with fellow police officers to use excessive force against the people of the East Bay and conspiring to distribute illegal steroids, and by destroying and falsifying evidence. As shown in the evidence presented to the jury in the trials against him convicting him of these crimes, Wenger behaved as if he was above the law, and his actions and post-trial submissions show that he continues to refuse to take any responsibility for his criminality.

1       The government acknowledges and respects the work of policing as a difficult and often  
 2 dangerous job. But Wenger's conduct shows that he in fact had little regard for the law at all, beyond  
 3 the position and excuse it gave him to cause harm to members of the community.

4       For these reasons and the considerations set forth below, the United States respectfully requests  
 5 that the Court sentence Wenger to a significant custodial sentence within the Guidelines range of 108 to  
 6 135 months, given the seriousness and nature and circumstances of the offense, Wenger's history and  
 7 characteristics, and the need for deterrence.

8 **II. BACKGROUND**

9       **A. Factual Background<sup>1</sup>**

10      Wenger began working as a police officer with the City of Antioch's Police Department (APD)  
 11 in the Northern District of California in 2018. PSR ¶ 12; Ex. 21 at 15.

12      Case No. 4:23-CR-00269-3 (Conspiracy Against Rights):

13      Beginning in or about 2019, Wenger conspired with fellow Antioch Police Officers Eric  
 14 Rombough and Morteza Amiri to use excessive force, and also to be able to continue using excessive  
 15 force. The evidence at trial showed that Amiri, Rombough, and Wenger looked for and even created  
 16 opportunities to use excessive force; they interceded in one another's uses of force only when necessary  
 17 to keep the other from getting caught; and they covered up for each other and falsified police records as  
 18 necessary to ensure that they could continue using excessive force without sanction.

19      Rombough's testimony, corroborated by text messages and falsified police reports, proved that  
 20 he and Morteza Amiri had an agreement to use excessive force, an agreement which Devon Wenger  
 21 joined. Rombough testified that Morteza Amiri shared these views, as expressed in text messages,  
 22 including one exchange in which he and Amiri discussed violating civil rights. *See* Ex. 102 at p. 2188.  
 23 Rombough also testified that Amiri vouched for Wenger and told him that Wenger "was not scared to go  
 24 hands on." Tr. at 595, 659.

25      The evidence at trial showed that Wenger joined the conspiracy no later than April 21, 2019,  
 26 when he enlisted Amiri and Rombough's participation in finding and using excessive force on a suspect

27  
 28      <sup>1</sup> The facts set forth in this section are drawn from the PSR and the trial records from the two trials in this case.

1 who ran. On the evening of April 20, 2019, Wenger texted both Amiri and Rombough about a rumor  
 2 going around APD:

3       Normally I would Not give a shit what people think but I really like you  
 4 guys and I don't want to you to have a negative opinion of me over some  
 5 bullshit. . . I want to let you guys know that I would not avoid a paper call  
 6 like some other fuck faces do. . . Thanks dudes, again I'm only texting you  
 two because I like you guys and I sincerely care what you think. If  
 anything like this happens again please let me know. I know how bad  
 opinions of people can spread like wild fire here.

7 Ex. 107 at 1. Following this text, both Amiri and Rombough texted Wenger to say that they would meet  
 8 up with Rombough in person later that night. Ex. 103 at 28; Ex. 107 at 2.

9       Within a few hours of these texts, Wenger texted Rombough and Amiri again, “*Please find this*  
 10 *guys and fuck him in the ass.*” Ex. 107 at 3 (emphasis added). Rombough wrote back a few seconds  
 11 later, “Deal.” *Id.* To ensure that Amiri and Rombough knew who to look for, a few seconds after that,  
 12 Wenger texted Amiri and Rombough a photo and booking information for the person and wrote, “He’s  
 13 the fuck face that ran. Wants are 108 and 2800.”<sup>2</sup> Ex. 107 at 4; Tr. at 661. Amiri, who was a K-9  
 14 handler, responded about 40 minutes later, “[I]ll bite em.” Ex. 107 at 5. Rombough testified at trial that  
 15 he was serious when he said, “deal,” and that he took Amiri’s statement that he would “bite em”  
 16 seriously based on their relationship. Tr. at 662-63.

17       Purposes of the conspiracy

18       The conspiracy had several purposes. One was to impose extrajudicial punishment on suspects.  
 19 Wenger wrote to Amiri in a text that is discussed further below, “[t]hat’s what fucking happens when  
 20 you run, you acquire a tax.” Ex. 255 at 70. Another was sheer entertainment. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 255 at 72-  
 21 73 (in response to Wenger’s text of injury photos following “a very eventful work week,” Wenger  
 22 wrote, “Hahahah FUCK YEAH BRO”); Ex. 103 at 16 (“Kyle got in some solid hits and Matt straight  
 23 spartan kicked that fuck face pretty good lol”); Ex. 515 (video taken after the arrest of Dajon Smith).  
 24 Another object was potential advancement at APD. Rombough testified that “[w]hen I started using  
 25 force, I began to get noticed at the P.D.” Tr. at 502. And Wenger, after using the less lethal launcher on  
 26 Dajon Smith, told Amiri that he was “just trying to get on SWAT.” Ex. 103 at 295.

27

28       <sup>2</sup> Rombough testified that 108 refers to a stolen vehicle and 2800 refers to “evading.” Tr. at 661.

1       The following are some additional examples from the trial of Wenger's participation in the  
 2 conspiracy.

3       *October 2019: C.Y. and C.*

4       During an incident in October 2019, Wenger broke victim C.Y.'s arm and smashed her sister  
 5 C.'s face into the side of a police car. Following the incident, Wenger falsified key details in his police  
 6 report and in a related report.

7       While investigating a shoplifting incident, Wenger went to C.Y.'s residence. He walked into the  
 8 open garage where C.Y. was sitting in her car and asked to speak to her. She stepped out of the car and  
 9 said that she wanted to get her mother. Wenger wrote in his report that C.Y. started to run towards the  
 10 residence door after Officer Nilsen told her she was detained. Ex. 651. However, Nilsen testified at trial  
 11 that this was not true, that she was not really running. Tr. at 1232. Wenger also wrote in his report that  
 12 he then grabbed C.Y.'s arm and told her to put her hands behind her back, and that she "refused by  
 13 pulling away from me and tensing her right arm." Ex. 651. C.Y. testified that Wenger grabbed her as  
 14 soon as she left her car, shoved her against a wall, and broke her arm. Tr. at 268. A later x-ray showed  
 15 that Wenger had in fact broken her arm. Ex. 668.

16       The remaining events can be observed on a bystander video that contradicts Wenger's police  
 17 report narrative of what happened next, and apparently was not collected by APD at the time of the  
 18 incident. *See* Ex. 669. After Wenger broke C.Y.'s arm, he sat her on a curb in front of the house.  
 19 Shortly afterwards, C.Y.'s sister C. joined a crowd which was gathering outside the house, carrying  
 20 some keys on a lanyard. Wenger wrote in his police report that he then twice asked C. whether she was  
 21 at the Spirit Halloween store, and that instead of answering she turned away from him "as if she might  
 22 be preparing to flee." Ex. 651. He described "placing her against a parked car" to handcuff her. *Id.*  
 23 The video shows instead that after Wenger asked C.Y.'s sister once whether she was at the Spirit  
 24 Halloween Store, he lunged forward and either pushed or attempted to grab her at her chest, causing her  
 25 to fall into a car and then to the ground.

26       Wenger also wrote in his report that as he was escorting C. to a patrol car, she attempted to pull  
 27 away from him and that as a result of her actions she "subsequently fell onto the side of the patrol  
 28 vehicle." Ex. 651. The video shows what actually happened: that after subduing C. on the ground,

1 Wenger picked her up, walked her over to a patrol car, grabbed her by the neck, and used the full force  
 2 of his weight to smash C's face into the side of the car. Ex. 669.

3 Wenger omitted these details, including the injury to C.'s face, from a report which was required  
 4 by APD to document uses of force. Ex. 667. He instead described C. as the "Primary Aggressor," and  
 5 noted that she was charged with Assault with a Deadly Weapon. *Id.* Captain Anthony Morefield also  
 6 testified that in an early draft of the police report, Wenger had referred to C.'s keys on a lanyard as "a  
 7 bludgeoning instrument, or bludgeoning weapon, or a blunt force weapon." Tr. at 426.

8 Rombough testified at trial that Wenger spoke with him about C.Y.'s broken arm afterwards, and  
 9 told him the "bitch got what she deserved." Tr. at 662.

10 August 21-23, 2020

11 On August 21, Wenger, Amiri, and Amiri's K-9 Purcy assisted the neighboring Pittsburg Police  
 12 Department with tracking a car theft suspect. Together, they tracked the suspect to a stand of bushes,  
 13 from which K-9 Purcy dragged him out. The incident was captured by a Pittsburg Police Officer's  
 14 body-worn camera ("BWC"). Ex. 302. Later that night, Amiri texted Wenger, "if pitt didn't have all  
 15 those body cams and that was us... we would have fucked him up more. he didn't get what he deserved."  
 16 Wenger responded, "I agree. That's why I don't like body cams." Ex. 255 at 61-64.

17 The next night, August 22, 2020, at 6:25 PM, Wenger wrote to Amiri: "We need to get into  
 18 something tonight bro!! Lets go 3 nights in a row dog bite!!!" Ex. 255 at 65. At 6:43 PM, Wenger  
 19 texted Amiri again: "Lets get faggot ass donleavy something to stress out about lol." *Id.* at 66.  
 20 Donleavy was an APD lieutenant. A police report shows that seven minutes after this text, Amiri,  
 21 Wenger, and another officer engaged with a suspect named M.C. whom Amiri knew was the subject of a  
 22 felony arrest warrant, Ex. 251 at 1. Just a month earlier, Amiri and Rombough had texted about a plan  
 23 to set up an encounter with M.C. and then assault him. Exh. 102 at p. 2363; Tr. at 629.

24 The evidence shows that this plan was serious: when Amiri and Wenger caught M.C. on August  
 25 22, they punched him in the face, as described further below. *See* Exh. 255 at 66-67 & 141-143; *see*  
 26 *also* Exh. 257 (photo of injury). The punches were not reported: in his police report after the incident  
 27 with M.C., Amiri wrote that he "did not use any use of force other than a takedown during my  
 28 arrest." Ex. 251 at 9. The severest injury Amiri described was a cut lip. *See id.* Amiri also did not

1 submit a use of force report for the event. Tr. at 821-822.

2       However, subsequent texts and photos exchanged between Wenger and Amiri suggest that rather  
 3 than or in addition to a “takedown,” M.C. was punched in the face until he bled profusely. At 7:06 PM,  
 4 sixteen minutes after Wenger’s last text, Amiri texted Wenger: “hahaha. [M.C.] style.” Ex. 255 at 66.  
 5 Amiri took a photo of M.C.’s face following the incident and sent the photo to Wenger at 12:42 AM the  
 6 next day. Ex. 255 at 67; Ex. 257. In the photo, the left half of M.C.’s face is covered in blood. Ex.  
 7 257. Amiri also took photos of M.C.’s face at 10:54 PM, almost four hours after the encounter, in which  
 8 the blood on M.C.’s face had been cleaned up but was scratched and visibly bruised. *See* Ex. 254. Text  
 9 messages between Amiri and Wenger a few months later suggest what actually happened to M.C. on  
 10 August 22. Ex. 255 at 140-142. Amiri advised Wenger that M.C. “took a deal for 16 months state  
 11 prison for all his cases.” Wenger wrote back, “Fuck yeah dude, that still doesn’t seem harsh enough for  
 12 that scumbag.” And Amiri responded, “Then face punches was the most punishment he got lol.”  
 13 Wenger replied, “Ha ha ha, fuck that nerd.”

14       The night after the M.C. incident, Amiri and his K-9 Purcy tracked car theft suspect D.R. to a  
 15 tent in a homeless camp, where Purcy shredded D.R.’s back. Amiri texted Wenger a photo of D.R.’s  
 16 back later that night, and wrote, “bro we saw him laying in bed just acting like he was asleep. i walked  
 17 out the tent and game planned how to fuck him up. went back and did justice. wish you were there.  
 18 inside a tent with no cams... you would have loved it. oakley agreed to keep cameras off.” Ex. 255 at  
 19 70. Wenger wrote back, “Bro...fuuuuuck yes!!! Fuck that nerd!! That’s what fucking happens when  
 20 you run, you acquire a tax. His tax was paid properly! Good shit bro.” *Id.* at 71.

21       At the end of this week, Amiri texted Wenger: “let’s fuck some people up next work week,” and  
 22 Wenger agreed, “Fuck to the mother fucking yes.” Ex. 255 at 74-75.

23       *October 2020: M.Z.*

24       In October 2020, Amiri sent the officers on his shift booking photos and information on an  
 25 individual named M.Z., said M.Z. had stolen his mail, and offered a “bounty” to anybody who found  
 26 him. Wenger was one of the participants in the group text, and he wrote back to the group: “Lets beat his  
 27 fucking ass bro! I’m down after work morty.” Ex. 406. Wenger then wrote privately to Amiri: “I’m  
 28 serious bro, let’s beat that dudes ass after work.” Ex. 103 at 188. Former APD Officer Matthew

1 Contreras testified at trial that Amiri, did, in fact, have an encounter with M.Z. that night. Tr. at 1010-  
 2 1014.

3 *October 2021: D.S./Unknown Subject on dirt bike*

4 In or around January 2021, Wenger attended a training on the 40 mm Less Lethal Launcher, a  
 5 tactical firearm which uses rubber-tipped plastic bullets. Ex. 12 at 4. The associated training materials  
 6 included a discussion of “target areas,” in which the chest area was identified as “Red: Potentially  
 7 Lethal.” Ex. 13 at 21. Rombough, who attended the same training, understood the chest area to be  
 8 “non-safe” to deploy the 40 mm less lethal device. Tr. at 529. In or around August 2021, Wenger  
 9 completed an 80-hour firearms instructor training course. Ex. 20. Rombough described Wenger as an  
 10 “exceptional shot.” Tr. at 658.

11 A few months later, on October 26, 2021, Wenger deployed the 40mm Less Lethal Launcher to  
 12 shoot car theft suspect D.S. in the chest while D.S. had his hands up at shoulder height. The incident  
 13 was captured on body-worn camera video taken from multiple angles. After he arrived, Wenger joined  
 14 other officers already on the scene by taking up a position covering D.S. with his firearm. *See* Exs. 511-  
 15 515 (officer BWC from the incident). Former APD Officer Shane Cole arrived last and went over to the  
 16 sergeant on scene to see if he had a 40mm Less Lethal Launcher. Tr. at 1089, 1094-1097. BWC  
 17 captures Wenger abandoning his defensive position and leaning back to listen in when Cole asked for  
 18 the 40mm, and then running in front of Cole to get the 40mm before Cole could. *See* Ex. 513, 515.  
 19 BWC then captures Wenger running to a position closer to D.S. and immediately shooting D.S. in the  
 20 chest with the 40mm before he finished his required warning announcement. *See* Exs. 511-515.

21 Wenger was caught on BWC and separately overheard by Cole saying that he wanted to “40,” or  
 22 use the 40mm, before he shot D.S. *See* Ex. 511; Tr. at 1104 (Cole testimony). Although Wenger’s own  
 23 BWC and the BWC of other officers present showed that D.S.’s hands were up at shoulder height at the  
 24 time that Wenger shot him, Wenger wrote in his report, “[D.S.] did not appear to me to have his hands  
 25 up.” Ex. 501. However, Palma conceded in his trial testimony that D.S.’s hands were up. Tr. at 1168-  
 26 1169.

27

28

Following this incident, Wenger's supervisor J.E. texted him, "You are going to have to justify why you shot him when his hands were raised shoulder height." Wenger wrote back, "be ready for a deescalation and use of force master piece reviewed by both [R.D.] and Palma." Ex. 509. R.D. and Palma were fellow officers; Palma was at the incident and testified as a defense witness at trial. In the police report dated after these texts, despite his statement above about wanting to "40" D.S., Wenger wrote, "I did not want to, nor did I have any desire to bring harm upon [D.S.]." Ex. 501.

In an encounter after the incident, Wenger told Rombough that Rombough would have been "proud" of him. Tr. at 662. Amiri and Wenger also texted after the D.S. incident, with Amiri writing "i love this wenger" and Wenger writing back "Just trying to get on swat bro!" Ex. 103 at 292-294.

Amiri then asked Wenger if he'd been "dusted by a dirt bike" that day. Ex. 103 at 294. Wenger responded that he "was fucking around with that kid trying to get him to wreck." *Id.* at 297. Amiri told him that it had been posted on social media, and Wenger expressed some concern ("Fuck seriously?"). *Id.* at 298. Amiri reassured him that they had identified the subject and "we are gonna fuck him up." *Id.* at 300. Wenger responded with a "love" emoji, and wrote, "haha fuck that kid. Get his ass." *Id.* at 303-304. But then Wenger said, "I hope I don't get in trouble for trying to ghost stop that dude." *Id.* at 305. FBI Special Agent Armando Delgado-Campos explained at trial that a ghost stop was an unsanctioned police activity. Tr. at 1152. Amiri reassured Wenger again: "nahh. [J.E.] won't care. I only told [A.B.]." *Id.* at 305. Aside from Amiri and Wenger, A.B. had also been the only other officer present at the time of the M.C. incident. Ex. 251.

Case No. 23-CR-00268-2 (Conspiracy to Distribute Steroids and Obstruction of Justice):

In about February 2022, Wenger purchased illegal steroids from former Antioch Police Officer Daniel Harris for himself. Tr. at 299. Shortly afterwards, he then agreed with Harris to distribute steroids to one of Wenger's friends, Brendon Mahoney. Tr. at 304. Mahoney explained at trial that Wenger told him that Harris could help him purchase testosterone. Tr. 374–375, and that Wenger had obtained something similar from Harris. Tr. at 375. Mahoney "was interested in purchasing testosterone, and Mr. Harris seemed like the individual that could facilitate that." Tr. at 374.

Wenger then put Harris in touch with Mahoney so that Mahoney could also purchase steroids from Harris. Tr. at 304. After Mahoney contacted Harris and they spoke about testosterone, Tr. at 306,

1 377, Harris agreed with Wenger to get Mahoney a bottle of testosterone. Tr. at 308. Mahoney assumed  
 2 that the testosterone “would just come through Devon, Devon and Mr. Harris would figure it out.” Tr. at  
 3 378. This assumption was buttressed by conversations in which Wenger also told Mahoney that  
 4 Mahoney would “get [the testosterone] from” Harris. Tr. at 378. Both Harris and Mahoney testified that  
 5 they assumed that Mahoney would have paid Harris for the illegal steroids through Wenger.

6       Harris subsequently ordered anabolic steroids from his source. Tr. at 309. On March 2, 2022,  
 7 Wenger contacted Harris and asked if he could pick up Mahoney’s steroids. Tr. at 313, but Harris still  
 8 had not received the package from his source. Harris then emailed with his source, who explained that  
 9 the package was “taking longer than expected” and provided Harris with the USPS tracking number. Tr.  
 10 at 313–314; Exhibit 36-004. A week later, Wenger again contacted Harris and asked if he could pick up  
 11 Mahoney’s steroids. Tr. at 314. Harris responded that the package was still late and sent Wenger the  
 12 tracking number. Tr. at 314–315; Exhibit 33-007–33-009. Wenger then asked Harris if he could give  
 13 Mahoney “one of [Wenger’s] personal bottles of testosterone” and then get his own supply  
 14 “replenish[ed]” when the shipment finally arrived. Tr. at 315. The package never arrived. *aId.*

15       After placing the order for testosterone, Mahoney continued to communicate with Wenger about  
 16 the steroids, “what were next steps, where it was, that kind of stuff.” Tr. at 379. Mahoney explained that  
 17 he never received any steroids and did not pay either Harris or Wenger. Tr. at 379. Mahoney’s testimony  
 18 was corroborated by text message exchanges between him and Wenger. PSR ¶ 21.

19       The package never arrived because it was seized by the U.S. Postal Service. The seized USPS  
 20 package contained two smaller boxes: one box contained various prescription-type medications, all  
 21 labeled as having been manufactured by “True Shot Pharmaceuticals.” The second box contained “glass  
 22 vials with three different color caps,” Tr. at 211, which Singh suspected contained anabolic steroids,  
 23 which were Schedule III controlled substances. Tr. at 211. Multiple DEA chemists testified at the trial  
 24 that substances seized from the package were steroids that were Schedule III controlled substances.

25       *Destruction of records*

26       On March 23, 2022, an FBI Special Agent contacted Wenger by phone at around 8:03 a.m.,  
 27 asked Wenger to exit his residence, and told Wenger that there was a search warrant. Tr. at 391–392.  
 28 Wenger did not exit the residence and refused to tell the FBI where he was. Tr. at 392. Wenger

1 ultimately met investigators about one hour after he had first been contacted—at approximately 9:00  
 2 a.m.—and provided investigators with his cellular phone. Tr. at 407.

3       A digital forensics expert witness and senior investigator for the Contra Costa District Attorney’s  
 4 Office testified that he was present that day at a parking lot with FBI agents when Wenger’s phone was  
 5 seized and provided to him. Tr. at 440–41. Wenger provided investigators with the passcode, and the  
 6 phone was placed into airplane mode. Tr. at 442. Investigators then disabled the U1 UWB chip. Tr. at  
 7 416. While still on site, an FBI agent reviewed a number of Signal conversations on Wenger’s phone  
 8 and noted that while the application’s global setting for automatically deleting messages was off, there  
 9 existed five specific chats that had been set to automatically delete messages. Tr. at 419–20. The digital  
 10 forensics expert later performed a full file system extraction of the device, Tr. at 443, and then processed  
 11 the extraction so that he could analyze it. Tr. at 445. The expert testified regarding certain categories of  
 12 data that, based on his review, had been, in his opinion, deleted from the phone, and testified that  
 13 “[t]here was, in my opinion, user intervention to delete certain data.” Tr. at 490. The deleted items  
 14 included text messages between Wenger and Harris and text messages between Wenger and Mahoney.  
 15 Tr. at 449–86. They also included contacts for Mahoney and Harris, including Harris’s contact in  
 16 Venmo, Tr. at 486–87, call logs related to Mahoney, Tr. at 489, and at least one Signal message, Tr. at  
 17 494.

18       Mahoney testified that in 2023, he contacted Wenger after he had been interviewed by the FBI  
 19 about Wenger. Tr. at 381. Wenger told Mahoney not to worry, because he had not “done anything  
 20 wrong” and that the FBI was “only going to know what [Mahoney] tell[s] them.” Tr. at 381. Mahoney  
 21 explained that he “just said okay,” but also knew that there were “text messages between” them, and “all  
 22 of that [was] documented.” Tr. at 382.

23           **B. Procedural History**

24       Wenger was charged in two Indictments on August 16, 2023: in Case No. 23-CR-268, with  
 25 Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess with Intent to Distribute Anabolic Steroids in violation of 21  
 26 U.S.C. § 846, 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(E)(i) (Count One) and Destruction, Alteration, and Falsification of  
 27 Records in Federal Investigations in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1519 (Count Four); and in Case No. 23-  
 28 CR-269 with Conspiracy Against Rights in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 241 (Count One), and one count of

1 Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 242 (Count Eight). PSR ¶¶ 1, 4.  
 2 The two cases were related before this Court.

3 On April 30, 2025, Wenger was found guilty of Counts One (Conspiracy to Distribute Anabolic  
 4 Steroids) and Four (Obstruction of Justice) in Case No. 23-CR-268 following a jury trial. PSR ¶ 2.

5 On September 18, 2025, Wenger was found guilty of Count One (Conspiracy Against Rights) in  
 6 Case No. 23-CR-269 following a jury trial. *Id.* at ¶ 12.

7 Sentencing has been consolidated and set for December 2, 2025, before this Court.

8 **C. Guidelines Calculation**

9 The government agrees with the United States Probation Office (“Probation”) that the correct  
 10 calculation of Wenger’s Total Offense Level under the Sentencing Guidelines is 31.

11 The government agrees with Probation’s calculations for Group 2 (C.Y.), Group 3 (C.Y.’s sister  
 12 C.), Group 4 (M.C.), Group 5 (D.R.), and Group 6 (M.Z.).

13 *Government Objection*

14 The government notes its objection to the removal of the group concerning D.S. (“D.S. Group”)  
 15 from the final Guidelines calculation, PSR ¶¶ 2-3, although it acknowledges that the inclusion of the  
 16 group would not affect the final Total Offense Level. Wenger’s conduct surrounding the D.S. incident  
 17 is relevant pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3(c), which states that “[r]elevant conduct does not include  
 18 conduct for which the defendant was criminally charged and acquitted in federal court, *unless such*  
 19 *conduct also establishes, in whole or in part, the instant offense of conviction.*” (emphasis added).  
 20 Wenger’s acquittal on the separate count of deprivation of rights does not bar consideration of his  
 21 conduct relating to D.S. to the offense of conviction—that is, conspiracy to violate civil rights, which is  
 22 a different crime with different elements. The evidence introduced at trial surrounding the D.S. incident  
 23 went to Wenger’s agreement and intent with respect to the conspiracy. That the jury considered this  
 24 incident in rendering its guilty verdict for the conspiracy is further evidenced by the fact that it requested  
 25 to examine the 40 mm munition, which was in evidence, during its deliberation *despite* Count 8 having  
 26 been previously dismissed by the Court. *See* Dkt. No. 597 at 4.

27 The government calculates that the adjusted offense level for the D.S. Group is 18: a base  
 28 offense level of 12 (U.S.S.G. § 2H1.1(a)(2)), plus 6 because the offense was committed under color of

1 law (U.S.S.G. § 2H1.1(b)(1)(B)). Because 18 is 9 levels less serious than the group with the highest  
 2 offense level in Probation’s calculation (which has an adjusted offense level of 27), it does not count as  
 3 a “Unit” under U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4(c). PSR ¶ 96, 97. As a result, including the D.S. group in the  
 4 Guidelines calculation would not increase the Combined Adjusted Offense Level and Total Offense  
 5 Level of 31.

6       *Defense Objections*

7       The PSR identifies six defense objections, but according to Probation, Wenger in fact “objected  
 8 to almost the entirety of the offense conduct section contained in paragraphs 24 through 46,” PSR  
 9 Addendum ¶ 6 (Objection Number One).

10      *Amiri’s Acquittal*. One of Wenger’s principal objections, and a premise which runs throughout  
 11 his sentencing memo, Dkt. 639, is his suggestion that there could be no conspiracy because Amiri was  
 12 acquitted of conspiracy and Wenger cannot be a co-conspirator. *Id.* at 3 (“Amiri was acquitted of  
 13 conspiracy; there is, therefore, no evidence that Wenger entered into a conspiracy that could not have  
 14 existed (based on Amiri’s acquittal)”).

15      Not so. The jury has already rendered its verdict: Wenger is guilty of conspiracy. Wenger’s co-  
 16 defendant and co-conspirator Rombough was also convicted of conspiracy by his guilty plea, and  
 17 Rombough testified at length about the existence and nature of the conspiracy. Wenger himself already  
 18 conceded, in a motion *in limine*, that “the acquittal may not be introduced as substantive evidence of  
 19 innocence.” Dkt. 463, at 1. While the Constitution’s protection against double jeopardy prevents the  
 20 same defendant from being retried for a variant of the same conspiracy following an acquittal, *see Ashe*  
 21 *v. Swenson*, 397 U.S. 436, 446 (1970), no such collateral estoppel principles apply to the trial of co-  
 22 conspirators, *see, e.g.*, *United States v. Bundy*, No. 2:16-CR-46-GMN-PAL, 2017 WL 4684655, at \*2  
 23 (D. Nev. Oct. 18, 2017) (holding defendant was not party to initial trial that resulted in acquittal of  
 24 coconspirators and therefore his “alleged involvement in the conspiracy is still at issue”). To the  
 25 contrary, “[i]t is well established that a person may be convicted of conspiring with a co-defendant even  
 26 when the jury acquits that co-defendant of conspiracy.” *United States v. Ching Tang Lo*, 447 F.3d 1212,  
 27 1226 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing *United States v. Powell*, 469 U.S. 57, 65–66 (1984)); *see, e.g.*, *United States*  
 28 *v. Galecki*, 89 F.4th 713, 735 (9th Cir. 2023) (“Defendants assert that Eaton cannot be counted as one of

1 the five requisite conspirators given that the jury acquitted him on all charges. That is wrong.”); *United*  
 2 *States v. Baird*, 189 F.3d 475 (9th Cir. 1999) (upholding judgment against defendant where § 241  
 3 defendant’s co-conspirators had been acquitted or no verdict had been reached); *United States v. Shields*,  
 4 No. 12-CR-00410-BLF-1, 2020 WL 353550, at \*10 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 21, 2020) (“Sims’ acquittal on the  
 5 majority of the counts does not undermine Shields’ conviction”). This is because, in part, “the acquittal  
 6 of all conspirators but one does not necessarily indicate that the jury found no agreement to act.” *Ching*  
 7 *Tang Lo*, 447 F.3d at 1226 n.8 (quoting *United States v. Valles–Valencia*, 823 F.2d 381, 382 (9th Cir.  
 8 1987), modifying 811 F.2d 1232 (9th Cir. 1987)). Wenger is simply incorrect when he argues that  
 9 Amiri’s acquittal affects his guilt or his sentencing exposure.

10 In his sentencing memo, Wenger also identifies a more specific set of issues, each of which is a  
 11 narrower version of a defense objection in the PSR, that he believes must be resolved by the Court at  
 12 sentencing. Dkt. 639 at 12-13. The government responds to each of those specific issues:

13 Defense Objection Number Two (Count Group 3—C.). Wenger claims that no trial evidence  
 14 established that C. was injured. *Id.* at 12. C.Y. testified at trial that when Wenger “slammed her head to  
 15 the back of the car, to the side of the car,” Tr. at 276, C. suffered a “busted lip.” Tr. at 283. In C.’s  
 16 written statement to the Court, C. wrote that “Officer Wagner” “slammed my head into his car window.”  
 17 C. Victim Impact Statement. The government agrees with Probation that the three-level adjustment for  
 18 injury therefore applies. PSR Addendum ¶ 9.

19 Defense Objection Number Three (Count Group 4—M.C.). Wenger claims that there was no  
 20 evidence that M.C. was injured. Dkt. 639 at 12. The government agrees with Probation that the “text  
 21 messages contained graphic photos of blood running down [M.C.]’s face after arrest.” PSR Addendum  
 22 ¶ 11; *see also* Ex. 257. The text messages also contain “cleaned-up” photos of M.C. taken that evening  
 23 which show his visibly bruised face. *See* Ex. 254. The three-level adjustment for injury therefore  
 24 applies.

25 Defense Objection Number Four (Count Group 5—D.R.). Wenger claims that Amiri engaged in  
 26 this conduct alone, and that because Amiri was acquitted of conspiracy at trial this conduct cannot be  
 27 part of a conspiracy. The government agrees with Probation that the D.R. incident is indeed part of the  
 28 conspiracy. PSR Addendum ¶ 13. It took place just two days after Wenger and Amiri agreed that they

1 would have “fucked up” the Pittsburg car suspect even more if there were no body cams, and one day  
 2 after Wenger texted Amiri: “We need to get into something tonight bro!! Lets go 3 nights in a row dog  
 3 bite!!!,” after which Amiri and Wenger then encountered M.C. and punched him in the face. The  
 4 government addresses Wenger’s argument regarding Amiri’s acquittal on conspiracy—an incorrect  
 5 premise running through his sentencing argument—above.

6 Defense Objection Number Five (Count Group 6—M.Z.). Wenger argues that this group should  
 7 be eliminated because the text messages from Wenger to Amiri were simply “blowing off steam,” there  
 8 was no assault, and there was no co-conspirator given Amiri’s acquittal on the conspiracy count. The  
 9 government agrees with Probation: “The undersigned believes the text messages from the defendant  
 10 reflect an intent to cause harm to [M.Z.]...and the encounter Amiri had with [M.Z.] later that evening  
 11 was reasonably foreseeable to the defendant.” *Id.* at ¶ 15.

12 Defense Objection Number Six (Count Group 1—Drug Distribution/Obstruction of Justice). In  
 13 his sentencing memo, the defendant conceded that he no longer objects to this Guidelines calculation.  
 14 Although the government disagrees with Probation’s calculation for this group, *id.* at ¶¶ 16-17, it  
 15 acknowledges that the calculation does not affect the ultimate Sentencing Guidelines calculation because  
 16 this group drops out under U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4(c).

17 *Calculation of Sentencing Guidelines Range*

18 As discussed further below, the government agrees with Probation that no acceptance of  
 19 responsibility adjustment is warranted because “the defendant has not clearly demonstrated acceptance  
 20 of responsibility for the offense.” PSR ¶ 101. To the contrary, Wenger has continually raised  
 21 unfounded accusations about alleged government misconduct in his post-trial actions and submissions  
 22 instead of accepting any responsibility for his crimes.

23 Wenger does not have a criminal history, which results in a Criminal History Category of I.  
 24 Wenger does not qualify for the adjustment for zero-point offenders in drug cases because, pursuant to  
 25 U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1(a)(8), such an adjustment does not apply to offenses regarding individual rights as  
 26 here.

27 The government agrees with Probation’s calculation of the advisory sentencing range of **108 to**  
 28 **135 months** of imprisonment.

1       **III. DISCUSSION**

2           **A. Applicable Law**

3       The Court should impose a sentence sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to reflect the  
 4 purposes of sentencing that Congress identified in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2). *United States v. Carty*, 520  
 5 F.3d 984, 991 (9th Cir. 2008). The Court should begin the process of determining an appropriate  
 6 sentence by calculating the correct sentencing range under the Guidelines. *Id.* After determining the  
 7 appropriate Guidelines calculation, the Court should then evaluate the sentence for substantive  
 8 reasonableness in light of the factors set out in Section 3553(a). *Carty*, 520 F.3d at 991–93.

9       Under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), in arriving at the appropriate sentence for the defendant, the Court  
 10 should consider these factors applicable to this case, among others: (1) the nature and circumstances of  
 11 the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; (2) the need for the sentence imposed to  
 12 reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for  
 13 the offense; (3) the need for the sentence imposed to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; (4)  
 14 the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have  
 15 been found guilty of similar conduct; (5) the need to provide restitution to any victims of the offense.

16           **B. Recommendation**

17       The government respectfully recommends that the Court sentence Wenger to a Guidelines  
 18 sentence, followed by three years of supervised release, based upon a consideration of the Guidelines  
 19 and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors. A Guidelines sentence should be imposed in this case given that the  
 20 calculation appropriately accounts for Wenger’s betrayal of his position of trust as a police officer, the  
 21 physical and psychological harm caused to victims by Wenger’s conduct, and Wenger’s refusal to  
 22 accept any responsibility for his actions. Such a sentence would be sufficient, but not greater than  
 23 necessary, based on the nature of the offense, Wenger’s history and characteristics, and the need for  
 24 deterrence.

25           *The offense conduct*

26       The government’s recommended custodial sentence accounts for the seriousness of Wenger’s  
 27 crimes and the nature and circumstances of the offenses.

28       As an initial matter, the government urges the Court to account for Wenger’s obstruction of

1 justice and steroid distribution convictions when imposing its sentence, because Wenger's destruction of  
 2 evidence of illegal steroids on his phone shows his contempt for the law and is entirely consistent with  
 3 his falsification of police documents to cover up his uses of excessive force. As described in detail in  
 4 the United States' Opposition to Defendant's Renewed Motion for Judgment of Acquittal, Dkt. 615 at  
 5 10-12, the evidence at trial showed that Wenger made multiple false statements in police reports and use  
 6 of force reports relating to his encounters with C.Y., C., and D.S. Wenger's police report narrative  
 7 relating to C. was contradicted by video captured of the episode. It seems clear that Wenger felt  
 8 comfortable lying about his encounter with C. because he believed there was no video; APD did not  
 9 have body-worn camera at the time, and the bystander videos collected by one of the officers did not  
 10 include the full video of the incident involving C. that was presented to the jury. As set forth above,  
 11 however, Wenger's convictions for conspiring to distribute steroids and for obstructing justice fall out of  
 12 the Guidelines calculation. This weighs in favor of the imposition of a sentence within the Guidelines.  
 13 See U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4(c) ("Such Groups will not increase the applicable offense level but may provide a  
 14 reason for sentencing at the higher end of the sentencing range for the applicable offense level.").

15 As to Wenger's participation in the conspiracy to use excessive force, the crime driving the  
 16 offense level of the Guidelines calculation, the evidence at trial showed that Wenger's behavior was not  
 17 a one-time aberration. He conspired with his fellow officers to hurt people, and he carried out his part in  
 18 the conspiracy over a period of over two years. In April 2019 he enlisted Amiri and Rombough to do  
 19 his dirty work, telling them to "find this guy[] and fuck him in the ass," making sure both that they knew  
 20 he was serious and that they could find the suspect by sending them the suspect's photograph and  
 21 booking information. Ex. 107 at 3. But he didn't stop there. As the evidence at trial established, he not  
 22 only hurt members of the community himself, but he agreed with his close confidante Amiri about  
 23 hurting people in the community, further encouraged Amiri to do so, and cheered when Amiri took  
 24 action.

25 Wenger's actions had significant consequences. As multiple victims of the conspiracy have told  
 26 the Court in testimony in both excessive force trials or in written submissions, the conspiracy caused  
 27 real and lasting physical and psychological harm. C.Y. testified that her arm has never fully healed after  
 28 Wenger broke it into two pieces; it still hurts, she can't straighten it fully, and one upper arm bone juts

1 out unnaturally above her elbow, something she showed the jury. Tr. at 294-295. She wrote in her  
 2 submission to the Court that she will “forever have this event in my head especially when I come across  
 3 officers, not knowing w[h]ether I can let my guard down and trust nothing won’t happen.” *See C.Y.*  
 4 Victim Impact Statement. To Wenger, she wrote, “I didn’t deserve what you did to me and my sister in  
 5 front of the rest of my family.” *Id.* Her sister C. wrote that “going to jail for something I didn’t commit  
 6 was one of the worst days of my life.” *See C.* Victim Impact Statement. She described how Wenger  
 7 “slammed my head into his car window, broke[] my sister arm, and left my family to deal with all the  
 8 trauma!” *Id.* She cries when she watches video of the incident, and is scared to record something on her  
 9 phone or to call a police officer. *Id.* She failed her college mid-terms after being wrongfully jailed  
 10 following the 2019 incident and is still paying off her student loans from that time. *Id.*

11       *Wenger’s history and characteristics*

12       Wenger’s history and characteristics support the government’s recommended sentence. Wenger  
 13 was a public servant sworn to uphold the rule of law. It is from this position of trust that Wenger  
 14 exacted harm on people in the Antioch community—people who may have had a criminal history or  
 15 were subject to a warrant, or were suspected of a crime, but did not deserve their civil rights being  
 16 violated. And it was from this position as a sworn law enforcement officer that he falsified documents  
 17 and destroyed evidence.

18       Insightfully, C. observed in her submission to the Court that Wenger did what he wanted to do  
 19 *because he could.* *Id.* *See C.* Victim Impact Statement. C.Y. testified to thinking the same thing as she  
 20 watched Wenger grab her sister C.: “I was in pain, and at that point, they was – *he was going to do what*  
 21 *he wanted to do.*” Tr. at 281 (emphasis added). In that same vein, the government also points the Court  
 22 to a clip of the C.Y./C. bystander video which the government believes shows the real Devon Wenger:  
 23 after he broke C.Y.’s arm, and after he threw C. to the ground and then smashed her face into his police  
 24 car, Wenger went after another family member who was filming him on her phone from inside the  
 25 family garage. Ex. 669, at 5:19-5:31. He can be seen on the video suddenly pulling on the garage door  
 26 and breaking it, and then stalking rapidly towards the woman holding the camera as she backs away and  
 27 then moves behind a car to get away from him. He turns away and leaves the garage after another  
 28 officer is heard and seen calling him back. *Id.*

1 Wenger's statements to Probation indicate he still does not acknowledge the harm he caused and  
 2 still refuses to take any responsibility for his conduct. Instead, he "is hopeful that his convictions will be  
 3 overturned on appeal," and "wants to return to active military service and complete his special forces  
 4 training." PSR ¶ 117.

5 Similarly, counsel's objections to the facts in the PSR and sentencing memo show that Wenger  
 6 continues to deny any culpability for his actions, including what is captured on video or in photos or  
 7 falsely written in a police report. *See, e.g.* PSR Addendum ¶¶ 8, 10. He mischaracterizes text messages  
 8 in which he specifically requests, encourages, or applauds the use of violence against specific members  
 9 of the community as "offensive hyperbole," "frustration and informal office chatter," (April 2019 texts  
 10 requesting that Amiri and Rombough find and assault a suspect), Dkt. 639 at 5; "braggadocio" and  
 11 "ambiguous, colloquial, and reflect[ing] posturing and frustration" (texts to Amiri stating, "We need to  
 12 get into something tonight bro!! Lets go 3 nights in a row dog bite!!!"), Dkt. 639 at 8-9; PSR ¶ 40;  
 13 "venting and bravado" (applauding Amiri for attacking D.R., texting "That's what fucking happens  
 14 when you run, you acquire a tax. His tax was paid properly!"), Dkt. 639 at 10; PSR ¶ 43; and "posturing  
 15 and venting" (texts to Amiri in a group and privately expressing his seriousness about beating up M.Z.),  
 16 Dkt. 639 at 10-11, PSR ¶ 45.

17 Wenger's contempt for the victims of the conspiracy is obvious. He calls C.Y. "a suspect, not a  
 18 victim," Dkt. 639 at 3, and uses the full names of victims in this public filing rather than masking their  
 19 identities.

20 Deterrence

21 The government's recommended sentence would serve as general deterrence to others in  
 22 positions of public trust—particularly sworn police officers—from committing and covering up such  
 23 crimes. As this Court may recollect from the pretrial litigation in these related cases, the FBI's  
 24 investigation into an initially narrower view of police misconduct revealed a multiplying number of  
 25 crimes at issue. Wenger, with his communications relishing doing harm and exacting extrajudicial  
 26 punishment on those in the community and attacks on C.Y., C., and others, was among the worst. A  
 27 meaningful custodial sentence would cause police officers to truly examine the conduct at issue and  
 28 make sure that their own conduct does not match it. While Wenger presents himself as both an

1 immature braggart and jokester, and the victim of a vast retaliatory conspiracy, the evidence presented at  
 2 both trials shows a different story: he was a sworn law enforcement officer who was looking to harm  
 3 people, who encouraged and applauded other officers who harmed people, who helped to illegally  
 4 distribute drugs, and who covered up what he did by deleting and falsifying evidence. This was not  
 5 accident or oversight. An appropriate sentence would spotlight and deter such bad police conduct.

6       *Sentencing disparities*

7       Finally, the government's recommended sentence within the Guidelines range is justified when  
 8 considering the "need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records  
 9 who have been found guilty of similar conduct." There are strong parallels between Wenger and his co-  
 10 defendant and fellow former Antioch police officer Morteza Amiri, who was sentenced to 84 months by  
 11 this Court (just below the low-end of his Guidelines range of 87-108 months of imprisonment), and  
 12 Wenger is deserving of no less of a sentence. Wenger and Amiri are both former police officers with  
 13 identical criminal histories: both are Criminal History I with no convictions at the time of the offense  
 14 conduct that were convicted of multiple crimes after two trials each. They were, with the exception of  
 15 one category each (fraud for Amiri, and steroid distribution for Wenger), found guilty of similar  
 16 conduct. Both were found guilty of obstructing justice, Amiri by falsifying a police report and Wenger  
 17 by deleting evidence before the FBI seized his phone. Both were also convicted for egregious conduct  
 18 related to the use of excessive force against citizens of the community they served. And both refused to  
 19 accept responsibility for any of their conduct, despite the wealth of evidence against each of them.  
 20 While Amiri was convicted of one count of deprivation of rights and acquitted for his participation in  
 21 the conspiracy for which Rombough and Wenger were convicted,<sup>3</sup> Wenger's conviction by the jury of  
 22 conspiracy—for an agreement to violate the civil rights of the people of Antioch—merits the significant  
 23 Guideline range given the scope and severity of the conspiracy.

24       The government also recommends a three-year term of supervised release for Wenger with the  
 25 conditions recommended in the PSR for the purposes of specific deterrence and rehabilitation.  
 26

27       

---

<sup>3</sup> The Court considered additional relevant conduct for Amiri, including his racial animus toward  
 28 individuals in the community, and the government acknowledges that there is no evidence in the record  
 that Wenger held the same animus.

1           **C. Restitution**

2           C.Y. has identified \$1,383.50, and C. has identified \$210.99, in outstanding school debt as a  
3 result of the harm caused to them by Wenger's conduct. *See* addenda to C.Y. and C. Victim Impact  
4 Statements. At this time, the government has not received further requests for restitution from the  
5 identified victims of Wenger's crimes.

6           **IV. CONCLUSION**

7           For the foregoing reasons, the United States respectfully requests that the Court impose a  
8 sentence within the Guidelines range, no less than that imposed for Wenger's codefendant Amiri—  
9 specifically, the United States requests a sentence of 108 months of imprisonment, followed by three  
10 years of supervised release and the conditions recommended in the PSR.

12 DATED: November 26, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

13           CRAIG H. MISSAKIAN  
14           United States Attorney

15           \_\_\_\_\_  
16           /s/  
17           ERIC CHENG  
18           ALETHEA M. SARGENT  
19           ALEXANDRA SHEPARD  
20           Assistant United States Attorneys